

最小生成树 Minimum Spanning Trees

The slides are mainly adapted from the original ones shared by Chaodong Zheng and Kevin Wayne. Thanks for their supports!

钮鑫涛 Nanjing University 2023 Fall





Minimum Spanning Trees (MST) • Consider a connected, undirected, weighted graph G.

- That is, we have a graph G = (V, E) together with a weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$ that assigns a real weight w(u, v) to each edge $(u, v) \in E$.
- A spanning tree is a tree containing all nodes in V and a subset T of all the edges E.
- A minimum spanning tree (MST) is a spanning tree whose total weight $w(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(u, v)$ is $(u,v) \in T$

minimized.











Application of MST

- Network Design:
 - E.g., build a minimum cost network connecting all nodes.
 - Transportation networks.
 - Water supply networks.
 - Telecommunication networks.
 - Computer networks.
- Many other applications...
 - E.g., important subroutine in more advanced algorithms.

- One such application is used in a classical approximation algorithm for solving TSP.





Computing MST

- Consider the following generic method:
 - Starting with all nodes and an empty set of edges A.
 - Find some edge to add to A, maintaining the loop invariant that "A is a subset of some MST". (At anytime, A is the edge set of a spanning forest.)
 - Repeat above step until we have a spanning tree. (The resulting spanning tree) must be a **MST**.)

<u>GenericMST(G,w):</u>

 $A := \emptyset$

while A is not a spanning tree

(*u*,*v*) := find_a_edge_maintaining_the_loop_invariant() $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$

return A

_ _ These edges are called "**safe edges**", how to identify them?

---- _ _ _ Easy to determine, e.g., |A| = n - 1





Identifying Safe Edges

- A cut (S, V S) of G = (V, E) is a partition of V into two parts.
- An edge crosses the cut (S, V S) if one of its endpoint is in *S* and the other endpoint is in V S.
- A cut **respects** an edge set A if no edge in A crosses the cut.
- An edge is a light edge crossing a cut if the edge has minimum weight among all edges crossing the cut.



Cut (S, V – S**) respects**





Identifying Safe Edges

Theorem [Cut Property] Assume A is included in the edge set of some MST, let (S, V - S)be any cut respecting A. If (u, v) is a light edge crossing the cut, then (u, v) is safe for A.

- Proof:
 - Let T be an MST containing A, assume T does not include (u, v).
 - Connecting (u, v) forms a cycle in T, and in that cycle some edge other than (u, v) crosses the cut. Let $(x, y) \in T$ be that edge.
 - T' = T (x, y) + (u, v) must be a spanning tree.
 - Since (u, v) is a light edge crossing the cut, T' must be an MST, and (u, v) is safe for A in T'.







Computing MST

Theorem [Cut Property] Assume A is included in the edge set of some MST, let (S, V - S)be any cut respecting A. If (u, v) is a light edge crossing the cut, then (u, v) is safe for A.

> <u>GenericMST(G,w):</u> $A := \emptyset$ while A is not a spanning tree $(u,v) := find_a_safe_edge()$ $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$ return A

Corollary Assume A is included in some MST, let $G_A = (V, A)$. Then for any connected component in G_A , its minimum-weight-outgoing-edge (MWOE) in G is safe for A.

In G_A , an edge in a CC is "outgoing" if it connects to another CC



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Cut property: Assume A is included in some MST, let $G_A = (V, A)$. Then for any connected component in G_A , its MWOE in G is safe for A.
- Strategy for finding safe edge in Kruskal's algorithm: Find minimum weight edge connecting two CC in G_A .

KruskalMST(G,w):

 $A := \emptyset$

Sort edges into weight increasing order

for each *edge* (*u*,*v*) *taken in weight increasing order*

if adding edge (u,v) does not form cycle in A $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$

return A



Joseph Kruskal

- **Put another way:**
 - Start with n CC (each node itself is a CC) and $A = \emptyset$.
 - Find minimum weight edge connecting two CC. (# of CC reduced by 1.)
 - Repeat until one CC remains.







• Eden weights in increasing order: 2345810121416182630



Kruskal's Algorithm



Kruskal's Algorithm

KruskalMST(G,w):

 $A := \emptyset$

Sort edges into weight increasing order $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$

return A

- How to determine an edge forms a cycle?
 - Put another way, how to determine if the edge is connecting two CC?

for each *edge* (*u*,*v*) *taken in weight increasing order* if adding edge (u,v) does not form cycle in A

> Use disjoint-set data structure! Each set is a CC, *u* and *v* in same CC if: Find(u) = Find(v).



KruskalMST(G,w):

 $A := \emptyset$

Sort edges into weight increasing order

for each node u in V

MakeSet(u)

if Find(u) := Find(v) $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$ **Union**(u, v)

return A

- Runtime of Kruskal's algorithm?



• $O(m \log n)$ when using disjoint-set data structure



- PrimMST(G,w): $A := \emptyset$ $C_x := \{x\}$ while C_{y} is not a spanning tree Find MWOE (u, v) of C_x $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$ $C_{x} := C_{y} \cup \{v\}$ return A
- **Put another way:**
 - Start with *n* CC (each node itself is a CC) and $A = \emptyset$. Pick a node *x*.
 - Find MWOE of the component containing x (# of CC reduced by 1.)
 - Repeat until one CC remains.

• Strategy for finding safe edge in Prim's algorithm: Keep finding MWOE in one fixed CC in G_A .



Vojtěch Jarník

Robert C. Prim

Edsger W. Dijkstra



















PrimMST(G,w):

- $A := \emptyset$
- $C_{x} := \{x\}$
- while C_{x} is not a spanning tree Find MWOE (u, v) of C_x $A := A \cup \{(u, v)\}$ $C_{v} := C_{v} \cup \{v\}$

return A

- How to find *MWOE* efficiently?
- Put another way: how to find the next node that is closest to C_{x} ?
 - Use a priority queue to maintain each remaining node's distance to C_r .



PrimMST(G,w): x := Pick an arbitrary node in Gfor each node u in V *u.dist* := *INF*, *u.parent* := *NIL*, *u.in* := *False* x.dist := 0**PriorityQueue** Q := Build a priority queue based on "dist" values while *Q* is not empty u := Q.ExtractMin() $O(n \lg n)$ u.in := Truefor each *edge* (u,v) in *E* if v.in = False and w(u,v) < v.distv.parent := u, v.dist := w(u,v)Q.Update(v, w(u,v))

Could be faster using better priority queue implementation (By using fibonacci heaps instead)

 $O(m \lg n)$ using binary heap to implement priority queue

O(n)





O(n)



DFS, BFS, Prim, and others...

DFSIterSkeleton(G, s): Stack Q Q.push(s)while !Q.empty() u := Q.pop()if !u.visited u.visited := Truefor each edge (u, v) in E Q.push(v)

BFSSkeletonAlt(G, s):FIFOQueue QQ.enque(s)while !Q.empty()u := Q.dequeue()if !u.visitedu.visited := Truefor each edge (u, v) in EQ.enque(v)

GraphExploreSkeleton(G, s): GenericQueue Q Q.add(s)while !Q.empty() u := Q.remove()if !u.visited u.visited := Truefor each edge (u, v) in E Q.add(v) PrimMSTSkeleton(G, x):

PriorityQueue QQ.add(x)while !Q.empty()u := Q.remove()if !u.visitedu.visited := Truefor each edge (u, v) in Eif !v.visited and ...Q.update(v, ...)



- Borůvka's algorithm for computing MST (actually the <u>earliest MST</u>) algorithm):
 - Starting with all nodes and an empty set of edges A.
 - Find MWOE for every remaining CC in G_A , add all of them to A.
 - Repeat above step until we have a spanning tree.







Otakar Borůvka





Is it okay to add multiple edges simultaneously?















- Is it okay to add multiple edges simultaneously?
- But it may result in circles?



• Assuming all edge weights are distinct, if CC C_1 propose MWOE e_1 to connect to C_2 , and C_2 proposes MWOE e_2 to connect to C_1 , then $e_1 = e_2$.





KruskalMST(G,w): $G' := (V, \emptyset)$ do ccCount := CountCCAndLabel(G')for i := 1 to *ccCount* safeEdge[i] := NILfor each *edge* (u,v) in E(G)if *u.ccNum* != *v.ccNum* safeEdge[u.ccNum] := (u,v)safeEdge[v.ccNum] := (u,v)for i := 1 to *ccCount* Add safeEdge[i] to E(G')while ccCount > 1

return E(G')

Total runtime is $O(m \lg n)$

O(n) //Do DFS/BFS, count #of CC, give **ccNum** to nodes.

O(n)

if safeEdge[u.ccNum] = NIL or w(u,v) < w(safeEdge[u.ccNum])if safeEdge[v.ccNum] = NIL or w(u,v) < w(safeEdge[v.ccNum])

WHY?

O(m+n) = O(m)

O(n)

 $O(\lg n)$ interactions





- Why Borůvka's algorithm is interesting?
 - The number of components in G' can drop by significantly more than a factor of 2 in a single iteration, reducing the number of iterations below the worst-case O(lg n).
 - Borůvka's algorithm allows for parallelism naturally; while the other two are intrinsically sequential.
 - Generalizations of Borůvka's algorithm lead to faster algorithms.



Summary

- cut, then (u, v) is safe for A.
- Classical algorithms for MST, all with runtime $O(m \cdot \log n)$:
 - Kruskal (UnionFind): keep connecting two CC with min-weight edge.
 - Prim (PriorityQueue): grow single CC by adding MWOE.
 - Borůvka: add MWOE for all CC in parallel in each iteration.
- Can we do MST in O(m) time?
 - Randomized algorithm with expected O(m) runtime exists.

• The "Cut Property" leads to many MST algorithms: Assume A is included in some MST, let (S, V - S) be any cut respecting A. If (u, v) is a light edge crossing the



Further reading

- [CLRS] Ch.23
- [Erickson] Ch.7



Algorithms



Jeff Erickson